Stress and Coping – An Economic Approach

Klaus Wälde

Johannes-Gutenberg University Mainz and CESifo

April 2016

• Stress is known by everybody

- Stress is known by everybody
 - At times, there are just too many demands ...
 - ... and not enough resources

- Stress is known by everybody
 - At times, there are just too many demands ...
 - ... and not enough resources
- Stress ...
 - ... has been introduced in 1936 by Selye (borrowing from physics)
 - ... is a disturbingly prominent topic
 - in academic (psychological) research
 - "Stressbericht 2012" by Bundesanstalt für Arbeitsschutz und Arbeitsmedizin
 - lot of talk about burnout syndrome
 - the rise of psychological diseases in overall diseases and more ...

The open issue

• Why do economists not work on stress?

- Why do economists not work on stress?
- Economic world hosts a large group of stress-inducers
 - (Biased) Technological change
 - Globalisation
 - Unemployment
 - Financial and Euro crisis
 - ... are all "good" sources of stress

- Why do economists not work on stress?
- Economic world hosts a large group of stress-inducers
 - (Biased) Technological change
 - Globalisation
 - Unemployment
 - Financial and Euro crisis
 - ... are all "good" sources of stress
- A conceptual framework is missing for economic model building

- Why do economists not work on stress?
- Economic world hosts a large group of stress-inducers
 - (Biased) Technological change
 - Globalisation
 - Unemployment
 - Financial and Euro crisis
 - ... are all "good" sources of stress
- A conceptual framework is missing for economic model building
- We need to bring more psychology into economics (Rabin, 2013)

The objectives

• Provide a conceptual framework that allows to understand stressors – appraisal – stress – coping

- Provide a conceptual framework that allows to understand stressors – appraisal – stress – coping
 - Stressors: Anything that puts demand on resources of an individual
 - Appraisal: Process of evaluating a stressor concerning its implication for well-being of a person
 - Stress: Subjective feeling resulting from current and past appraisals of stressors
 - Coping: Behaviour aimed at reducing stress

- Provide a conceptual framework that allows to understand stressors – appraisal – stress – coping
 - Stressors: Anything that puts demand on resources of an individual
 - Appraisal: Process of evaluating a stressor concerning its implication for well-being of a person
 - Stress: Subjective feeling resulting from current and past appraisals of stressors
 - Coping: Behaviour aimed at reducing stress
- Apply this framework to understand optimal reaction to stress

- Provide a conceptual framework that allows to understand stressors – appraisal – stress – coping
 - Stressors: Anything that puts demand on resources of an individual
 - Appraisal: Process of evaluating a stressor concerning its implication for well-being of a person
 - Stress: Subjective feeling resulting from current and past appraisals of stressors
 - Coping: Behaviour aimed at reducing stress
- Apply this framework to understand optimal reaction to stress
 - Which coping strategies are chosen, i.e. which reactions to stress can be observed?
 - How does stress translate into more or less aggressive coping patterns (smooth stress regulation vs. "emotional outbursts")?
 - Beyond stressors and appraisal, understand the effect of (theory consistent) personality on coping

How important are outbursts quantitatively?

How important are outbursts quantitatively?

- Family disputes
 - USA: 75% of couples report verbal aggression (Stets, 1990, USA, random digit dialing)
 - Germany (GSOEP with weighting factors): 44% (women) to 52% (men) report "having arguments or conflicts"
 - conflict is with partner (45%), parents (14%), children (13%), siblings (7%), hardly with colleagues or outside family
- Communication and bullying at work
 - Pressure for productivity ... leads to an increase in aggressive workplace behaviour (Baron and Neuman, 1996)
 - Is verbal aggression the precursor of more violent aggression at workplace? (Andersson and Pearson, 1999)
 - Verbal aggression is common (experienced by 1/3 of workers, Bjorkqvist et al, 1994)
- Domestic violence
 - USA: 10% of couples report physical aggression (Stets, 1990, USA)
 - much higher numbers for (biased) samples among students

Related literature

Related literature

- Economic literature
 - Theories of emotions
 - Optimal stopping problems
 - Stress in empirical work
 - The importance of communication in firms
- Psychological literature
 - Stress and coping
 - Appraisal theory
 - Stress and emotion regulation
- More to come during the talk ...

Structure of the talk

Structure of the talk

- 2. Stress, personality and coping (the model)
- 3. Optimal coping

Structure of the talk

- 2. Stress, personality and coping (the model)
- 3. Optimal coping
- 4. Stress and coping patterns
 - 4.1 Dynamics of stress and coping and theory consistent personality types
 - 4.2 The outburst theorem
 - 4.3 Temporary stressors and permanent stress?

Structure of the talk

- 2. Stress, personality and coping (the model)
- 3. Optimal coping
- 4. Stress and coping patterns
 - 4.1 Dynamics of stress and coping

and theory consistent personality types

- 4.2 The outburst theorem
- 4.3 Temporary stressors and permanent stress?
- 5. How to deal with outbursts?
 - 5.1 Frequency of outbursts
 - 5.2 Is postponing outbursts a good idea?
 - 5.3 The gains from psychotherapy
 - 5.4 Structurally estimating personality
- 6. Conclusion

2.1 The origins of stress

2.1 The origins of stress

Stress can have many sources, some of which ...

• occur rarely (death of spouse, new job, move house ...)

2.1 The origins of stress

Stress can have many sources, some of which ...

- occur rarely (death of spouse, new job, move house ...)
 - Rare events imply positive or negative surprises g(t)
 - Random variable h(t) and subjective expectation μ yield surprise

$$g(t) = h(t) - \mu$$

(Bell, 1985, Loomes and Sugden, 1986)

2.1 The origins of stress

Stress can have many sources, some of which ...

- occur rarely (death of spouse, new job, move house ...)
 - Rare events imply positive or negative surprises g(t)
 - Random variable h(t) and subjective expectation μ yield surprise

$$g(t) = h(t) - \mu$$

(Bell, 1985, Loomes and Sugden, 1986)

- surprises occur at a certain arrival rate
- (dynamic continuous time model with Poisson uncertainty)

2.1 The origins of stress

Stress can have many sources, some of which ...

- occur rarely (death of spouse, new job, move house ...)
 - Rare events imply positive or negative surprises g(t)
 - Random variable h(t) and subjective expectation μ yield surprise

$$g(t) = h(t) - \mu$$

(Bell, 1985, Loomes and Sugden, 1986)

- surprises occur at a certain arrival rate
- (dynamic continuous time model with Poisson uncertainty)
- ... are of a daily nature (emails, traffic jams, smile of a nice person ...)

2.1 The origins of stress

Stress can have many sources, some of which ...

- occur rarely (death of spouse, new job, move house ...)
 - Rare events imply positive or negative surprises g(t)
 - Random variable h(t) and subjective expectation μ yield surprise

$$g(t) = h(t) - \mu$$

(Bell, 1985, Loomes and Sugden, 1986)

- surprises occur at a certain arrival rate
- (dynamic continuous time model with Poisson uncertainty)

• ... are of a daily nature (emails, traffic jams, smile of a nice person ...)

- Flow of demand p(t) paired with
- abilities a(t) of individual yields
- intensity p(t) / a(t) of stressor

2.1 The origins of stress

The appraisal process

The appraisal process

• "... an appraisal is an evaluation of a situation in terms of its relevance for oneself, specifically one's goals or well-being (e.g., Lazarus 1968)"

The appraisal process

- "... an appraisal is an evaluation of a situation in terms of its relevance for oneself, specifically one's goals or well-being (e.g., Lazarus 1968)"
- "... appraisal as a temporal and causal antecedent to emotion (Scherer 1993b; 1999; Roseman and Smith 2001)" (all from Lewis, 2005)

The appraisal process

- "... an appraisal is an evaluation of a situation in terms of its relevance for oneself, specifically one's goals or well-being (e.g., Lazarus 1968)"
- "... appraisal as a temporal and causal antecedent to emotion (Scherer 1993b; 1999; Roseman and Smith 2001)" (all from Lewis, 2005)

Modelling appraisal

The appraisal process

- "... an appraisal is an evaluation of a situation in terms of its relevance for oneself, specifically one's goals or well-being (e.g., Lazarus 1968)"
- "... appraisal as a temporal and causal antecedent to emotion (Scherer 1993b; 1999; Roseman and Smith 2001)" (all from Lewis, 2005)

Modelling appraisal

- a known function $f\left(\frac{p}{a}, .\right)$ for daily hassles
- a known function $G\left(g\left(t
 ight),.
 ight)$ for surprises
- both functions are specific to individual (personality)

2.2 The impact on the individual

2.2 The impact on the individual

How do emotional tension and well-being interact?
2.2 The impact on the individual

How do emotional tension and well-being interact?

- Direct channel affects well-being (utility) directly (Stress symptoms like headache, dizziness, sweating, sleeplessness ...)
- Indirect channel affects labour income of the individual via an (person-specific) appraisal process and "cognitive load"
- Both channels affect instantaneous utility $u\left(c\left(t
 ight)$, $W\left(t
 ight)
 ight)$

2.2 The impact on the individual

2.2 The impact on the individual

The indirect channel of cognitive load

• Stress is the result of appraisal of stressors, which can be automatic and very fast

2.2 The impact on the individual

- Stress is the result of appraisal of stressors, which can be automatic and very fast
- Reflections on how to react to stressors is resource consuming ("high-level appraisals", e.g. Kalisch et al., 2006)

2.2 The impact on the individual

- Stress is the result of appraisal of stressors, which can be automatic and very fast
- Reflections on how to react to stressors is resource consuming ("high-level appraisals", e.g. Kalisch et al., 2006)
- Both processes lead to "cognitive load" (Sweller, 1988, Eysenck and Calvo, 1992, Hoffman, von Helversen and Rieskamp, 2013)

2.2 The impact on the individual

- Stress is the result of appraisal of stressors, which can be automatic and very fast
- Reflections on how to react to stressors is resource consuming ("high-level appraisals", e.g. Kalisch et al., 2006)
- Both processes lead to "cognitive load" (Sweller, 1988, Eysenck and Calvo, 1992, Hoffman, von Helversen and Rieskamp, 2013)
- Cognitive load stands for all the thoughts and worries, constructive or not, related to stressors and strategies on how to best react to stressors

2.2 The impact on the individual

Modelling cognitive load by a mental resource constraint

2.2 The impact on the individual

Modelling cognitive load by a mental resource constraint

- An individual is endowed with a certain amount of working memory *M* (see Smith and Kosslyn, 2007, esp. ch. 6 as a starting point)
- Stressors and coping use up resources of the working memory

2.2 The impact on the individual

Modelling cognitive load by a mental resource constraint

- An individual is endowed with a certain amount of working memory *M* (see Smith and Kosslyn, 2007, esp. ch. 6 as a starting point)
- Stressors and coping use up resources of the working memory
- Memory/ resource constraint in the case of "stress" and "effort"

$$M\left(W\right)+M\left(e\right)=M$$

- Higher stress levels imply cognitive load and leave less working memory for other purposes
- If effective labour input rises in effort, consumption falls in stress, c = wl(e)

2.3 Strategies for coping with tension

2.3 Strategies for coping with tension Emotion-focused (not problem-focused) and automatic vs. controlled processes

2.3 Strategies for coping with tension

Emotion-focused (not problem-focused) and automatic vs. controlled processes

- controlled process
 - talking to a friend, a colleague, a therapist (reduces tension by "sorting things out", i.e. by rationalizing events)
 - practice some (endurance) sport
 - take a break and enjoy leisure
 - stress reduces gradually due to depreciation function $\delta\left(m\left(t
 ight)$,.)

2.3 Strategies for coping with tension

Emotion-focused (not problem-focused) and automatic vs. controlled processes

- controlled process
 - talking to a friend, a colleague, a therapist (reduces tension by "sorting things out", i.e. by rationalizing events)
 - practice some (endurance) sport
 - take a break and enjoy leisure
 - stress reduces gradually due to depreciation function $\delta\left(m\left(t
 ight),.
 ight)$
- automatic process emotional outbursts

2.3 Strategies for coping with tension

Emotion-focused (not problem-focused) and automatic vs. controlled processes

- controlled process
 - talking to a friend, a colleague, a therapist (reduces tension by "sorting things out", i.e. by rationalizing events)
 - practice some (endurance) sport
 - take a break and enjoy leisure
 - stress reduces gradually due to depreciation function $\delta\left(m\left(t
 ight),.
 ight)$
- automatic process emotional outbursts
 - individuals feel overwhelmed by stressors
 - emotional tension rises to much, they "can't help" but explode
 - individuals start crying, shout at others, call other people names
 - relatively short event
 - ${\, \bullet \,}$ outburst reduces tension by a fixed amount Δ

$$W(\tau) = W(\tau_{-}) - \Delta$$

2.4 Formal modelling (functional forms)

- 2.4 Formal modelling (functional forms)
 - Emotional tension $W\left(t
 ight)$ is a state variable

$$dW(t) = \left\{ \phi \frac{p}{a} W(t) - \delta_0 W(t) - \delta_1 m(t) \right\} dt$$
$$-\chi \left[h(t) - \mu \right] dq(t)$$

- 2.4 Formal modelling (functional forms)
 - Emotional tension $W\left(t
 ight)$ is a state variable

$$dW(t) = \left\{ \phi \frac{p}{a} W(t) - \delta_0 W(t) - \delta_1 m(t) \right\} dt$$
$$-\chi \left[h(t) - \mu \right] dq(t)$$

- Deterministic part displays
 - stressors p and ability a, both are exogenous and fixed
 - ϕ as appraisal parameter of stressor
 - δ_0 as autonomous stress reduction ability
 - coping m(t) that leads to
 - ullet smooth reduction of tension given productivity δ_1

- 2.4 Formal modelling (functional forms)
 - ullet Emotional tension $W\left(t
 ight)$ is a state variable

$$dW(t) = \left\{ \phi \frac{p}{a} W(t) - \delta_0 W(t) - \delta_1 m(t) \right\} dt -\chi \left[h(t) - \mu \right] dq(t)$$

- Deterministic part displays
 - stressors p and ability a, both are exogenous and fixed
 - ϕ as appraisal parameter of stressor
 - δ_0 as autonomous stress reduction ability
 - coping m(t) that leads to
 - $\bullet\,$ smooth reduction of tension given productivity δ_1
- Stochastic part displays
 - surprises $h(t) \mu$, exogenous and random in level
 - \bullet appraisal of surprises captured by χ
 - Poisson process $q\left(t
 ight)$ with exogenous arrival rate

- 2.4 Formal modelling (functional forms)
 - Emotional tension $W\left(t
 ight)$ is a state variable

$$dW(t) = \left\{ \phi \frac{p}{a} W(t) - \delta_0 W(t) - \delta_1 m(t) \right\} dt$$
$$-\chi \left[h(t) - \mu \right] dq(t)$$

- Deterministic part displays
 - stressors p and ability a, both are exogenous and fixed
 - ϕ as appraisal parameter of stressor
 - δ_0 as autonomous stress reduction ability
 - coping m(t) that leads to
 - ${\scriptstyle \bullet}\,$ smooth reduction of tension given productivity δ_1
- Stochastic part displays
 - surprises $h\left(t
 ight)-\mu$, exogenous and random in level
 - appraisal of surprises captured by χ
 - Poisson process $q\left(t
 ight)$ with exogenous arrival rate
- "Outburst technology"

$$W\left(t
ight)=W\left(t_{-}
ight)-\Delta$$

2.4 Formal modelling



How does an individual behave?

- Individual chooses smooth coping m(t) ...
- ... taking outbursts into account
- ${\, \bullet \, }$ Outbursts occur automatically when tolerance level ${\, \bar{\! W} \,}$ is hit

How does an individual behave?

- Individual chooses smooth coping m(t) ...
- ... taking outbursts into account
- ullet Outbursts occur automatically when tolerance level $ar{W}$ is hit

Formal structure

How does an individual behave?

- Individual chooses smooth coping m(t) ...
- ... taking outbursts into account
- ullet Outbursts occur automatically when tolerance level $ar{W}$ is hit

Formal structure

Optimal stopping problem with exogenous stopping

$$E_{t}\int_{t}^{\infty}e^{-\rho[\tau-t]}\left[u\left(c\left(\tau\right),W\left(\tau\right)\right)-v\left(m\left(\tau\right)\right)\right]d\tau-\Sigma_{i=1}^{n}e^{-\rho[\tau_{i}-t]}v^{M}$$

• Choosing a path $\left\{m\left(\tau\right)\right\}_{t}^{\infty}$ anticipating outbursts at \bar{W} and taking constraints on $W\left(t\right)$ into account

Closed form solution (under mild parameter restriction)

• Optimal constant coping level

$$m = \left(\frac{\delta_1}{v_0} \frac{v^M}{\Delta} \frac{1}{1+\zeta}\right)^{1/\zeta}$$

4.1 Dynamics of stress and coping and personality

4.1 Dynamics of stress and coping and personality

• How does stress translate into more or less aggressive coping patterns (in a world *without* surprises)?

- 4.1 Dynamics of stress and coping and personality
 - How does stress translate into more or less aggressive coping patterns (in a world *without* surprises)?



$$\dot{W}\left(t
ight)=\Phi W\left(t
ight)-\delta_{1}m,~~\Phi\equiv\phirac{p}{a}-\delta_{0}$$
 "growth rate of stress"

4.1 Dynamics of stress and coping and personality

• How does stress translate into more or less aggressive coping patterns (in a world *with* surprises)?

4.1 Dynamics of stress and coping and personality

• How does stress translate into more or less aggressive coping patterns (in a world *with* surprises)?



$$dW\left(t\right) = \left\{\Phi W\left(t\right) - \delta_{1}m\right\} dt - \chi\left[h\left(t\right) - \mu\right] dq\left(t\right)$$

4.2 The outburst theorem

4.2 The outburst theorem

Questions

4.2 The outburst theorem

Questions

- What are the conditions under which "emotional outbursts" occur?
- Based on fundamentals of the model, who will display outbursts under which circumstances?

4.2 The outburst theorem

Questions

- What are the conditions under which "emotional outbursts" occur?
- Based on fundamentals of the model, who will display outbursts under which circumstances?
- Of interest also for psychology: "one intriguing puzzle is why people use one emotion regulation strategy rather than another" (Gross, 2008, p. 505)

4.2 The outburst theorem

Findings





 δ_0 – autonomous stress-reduction potential $\phi p/a$ – appraisal ϕ of intensity p/a of stressors (daily hassles) $\Phi = \phi p/a - \delta_0$ – growth rate of stress W^* – threshold level (beyond which stress rises) \overline{W} – tolerance level (beyond which outbursts)

Klaus Wälde

4.3 Can surprises have permanent effect on stress?
4.3 Can surprises have permanent effect on stress?

The evolution of stress after negative surprises for a stress-prone and a stress-resistant individual

4.3 Can surprises have permanent effect on stress?

The evolution of stress after negative surprises for a stress-prone and a stress-resistant individual



Evolution of stress under surprises

4.3 Can surprises have permanent effect on stress?

The evolution of stress after negative surprises for a stress-prone and a stress-resistant individual



Evolution of stress under surprises

- Identical sequence of shocks pushes
 - stress-prone individual to outburst while
 - stress-resistant individual stays calm (remains a good stabilizer)

4.3 Can surprises have permanent effect on stress?

Can a single negative event have a permanent effect on an individual?

- No: if we look at stress-resistant individual
- Yes: if we look at stress-prone individual
- Stress-prone individual can remain permanently stressed by a unique negative event

4.3 Can surprises have permanent effect on stress?

Can a single negative event have a permanent effect on an individual?

- No: if we look at stress-resistant individual
- Yes: if we look at stress-prone individual
- Stress-prone individual can remain permanently stressed by a unique negative event

What about positive events?

4.3 Can surprises have permanent effect on stress?

Can a single negative event have a permanent effect on an individual?

- No: if we look at stress-resistant individual
- Yes: if we look at stress-prone individual
- Stress-prone individual can remain permanently stressed by a unique negative event

What about positive events?

• Crucial difference between stress-resistant and stress-prone individual here as well

4.3 Can surprises have permanent effect on stress?

Can a single negative event have a permanent effect on an individual?

- No: if we look at stress-resistant individual
- Yes: if we look at stress-prone individual
- Stress-prone individual can remain permanently stressed by a unique negative event

What about positive events?

- Crucial difference between stress-resistant and stress-prone individual here as well
- Stress-prone individual can permanently reduce stress level by a unique positive event

5.1 The frequency of outbursts

5.1 The frequency of outbursts

How often do outbursts occur?

5.1 The frequency of outbursts

How often do outbursts occur?

• We consider a bad stabilizer (in a world without surprises)

5.1 The frequency of outbursts

How often do outbursts occur?

• We consider a bad stabilizer (in a world without surprises)



5.1 The frequency of outbursts

How often do outbursts occur?

5.1 The frequency of outbursts

How often do outbursts occur?

ullet stress level change exponentially in time au

$$W\left(au
ight)=\left(W\left(t
ight)-W^{st}
ight)e^{\Phi\left[au-t
ight]}+W^{st}$$

• growth rate of stress is $\Phi \equiv \phi \frac{p}{a} - \delta_0$ (measures also (in)stability of an individual)

5.1 The frequency of outbursts

How often do outbursts occur?

ullet stress level change exponentially in time au

$$W\left(au
ight)=\left(W\left(t
ight)-W^{*}
ight)e^{\Phi\left[au-t
ight]}+W^{*}$$

• growth rate of stress is $\Phi \equiv \phi \frac{p}{a} - \delta_0$ (measures also (in)stability of an individual)

• The frequency of outbursts is $T^{-1} = \Phi / \ln rac{ar W - W^*}{ar W - \Delta - W^*}$ and

- ${\, \bullet \,}$ rises in the growth rate of stress Φ
- ullet rises in the tolerance level $ar{W}$
- falls in (the endogenous) threshold level W^*
- $\bullet\,$ falls or rises in $\Delta\,$

5.2 Should outbursts be suppressed?

5.2 Should outbursts be suppressed?

Should outbursts be suppressed by increasing the tolerance level \bar{W} ?

5.2 Should outbursts be suppressed?

Should outbursts be suppressed by increasing the tolerance level \bar{W} ?

• The setup (a world without surprises)



5.2 Should outbursts be suppressed?

Should outbursts be suppressed by increasing the tolerance level \bar{W} ?

• The setup (a world without surprises)



• What happens when \bar{W} rises?

5.2 Should outbursts be suppressed?

Should outbursts be suppressed by increasing the tolerance level \bar{W} ?

• The setup (a world without surprises)



• What happens when \bar{W} rises?

• sounds good: outburst at least comes later

5.2 Should outbursts be suppressed?

Should outbursts be suppressed by increasing the tolerance level \bar{W} ?

• The setup (a world without surprises)



• What happens when \bar{W} rises?

- sounds good: outburst at least comes later
- but what about: "let it out", "do not bottle your anger up inside", "air-cleaning quarrels" (Bushman, Baumeister and Phillips, 2001)

5.2 Should outbursts be suppressed?

Should outbursts be suppressed by increasing the tolerance level \bar{W} ?

5.2 Should outbursts be suppressed?

Should outbursts be suppressed by increasing the tolerance level \bar{W} ?

• It might actually not be such a good idea!

5.2 Should outbursts be suppressed?

Should outbursts be suppressed by increasing the tolerance level \bar{W} ?

• It might actually not be such a good idea!



5.2 Should outbursts be suppressed?

Should outbursts be suppressed by increasing the tolerance level \bar{W} ?

• It might actually not be such a good idea!



• While higher outburst level \bar{W} postpones next outburst ...

5.2 Should outbursts be suppressed?

Should outbursts be suppressed by increasing the tolerance level \bar{W} ?

• It might actually not be such a good idea!



- ${\scriptstyle \bullet}$ While higher outburst level \bar{W} postpones next outburst ...
- ${\ \bullet\ }\ldots$ higher \bar{W} might also make the permanent stress-reduction effect obsolete

5.2 Should outbursts be suppressed?

Should outbursts be suppressed by increasing the tolerance level \bar{W} ?

• It might actually not be such a good idea!



- ${\scriptstyle \bullet}$ While higher outburst level \bar{W} postpones next outburst ...
- ${\ \bullet\ }\ldots$ higher \bar{W} might also make the permanent stress-reduction effect obsolete
- The individual might be caught in an outburst cycle

- 5.3 The gains from psychotherapy
 - What is the objective of psychotherapy?
 - Change certain types of behaviour (display emotional outbursts) ...
 - Make people happier increase their subjective feeling of life satisfaction ...

- What is the objective of psychotherapy?
 - Change certain types of behaviour (display emotional outbursts) ...
 - Make people happier increase their subjective feeling of life satisfaction ...
 - ... by adjusting personality parameters of the individual (as a short-cut to a more deeper learning and re-evaluation/-appraisal process)

5.3 The gains from psychotherapy

- What is the objective of psychotherapy?
 - Change certain types of behaviour (display emotional outbursts) ...
 - Make people happier increase their subjective feeling of life satisfaction ...
 - ... by adjusting personality parameters of the individual (as a short-cut to a more deeper learning and re-evaluation/-appraisal process)
- How can this be achieved?
 - See the outburst theorem for the first objective
 - Look at the value function (given the parameter restriction) for the second

$$J(W) = \Lambda_0 - \frac{v^M}{\Delta}W$$

where

$$\rho \Lambda_0 = \nu w M - v_0 m^{1+\zeta} + \frac{v^M}{\Delta} \left[\delta_1 m + \lambda \chi \left[E^h h - \mu \right] \right]$$

5.3 The gains from psychotherapy

• **Corollary I** (building on value function theorem): A therapy increases subjective well-being J(W) of the individual if

- **Corollary I** (building on value function theorem): A therapy increases subjective well-being J(W) of the individual if
 - the individual's productivity δ_1 in coping m rises
 - the individual becomes emotionally more stable (χ falls) conditional on the individual being on average *negatively* surprised
 - the individual becomes more *emotional* (χ rises) conditional on the individual being on average *positively* surprised,
 - the individual reduces her expectations with respect to surprises (μ falls) as this makes her more often positively surprised

5.3 The gains from psychotherapy

• Corollary II: A therapy allows an individual to keep its level of subjective well-being despite a rise in the intensity of stressors if

- **Corollary II**: A therapy allows an individual to keep its level of subjective well-being despite a rise in the intensity of stressors if
 - $\bullet\,$ the individual succeeds in reacting less emotional (lower $\phi)$ to daily hassles
 - the individual manages to improve her autonomous stress reduction potential (increase $\delta_0)$

- **Corollary II**: A therapy allows an individual to keep its level of subjective well-being despite a rise in the intensity of stressors if
 - $\bullet\,$ the individual succeeds in reacting less emotional (lower $\phi)$ to daily hassles
 - the individual manages to improve her autonomous stress reduction potential (increase $\delta_0)$
- What is optimal personality?

- **Corollary II**: A therapy allows an individual to keep its level of subjective well-being despite a rise in the intensity of stressors if
 - $\bullet\,$ the individual succeeds in reacting less emotional (lower $\phi)$ to daily hassles
 - the individual manages to improve her autonomous stress reduction potential (increase $\delta_0)$
- What is optimal personality?
 - Make an individual more emotional (increase χ) but
 - let her expect less (decrease μ)
Background

• Stress is a feeling that everybody experiences (at least) every now and then

Background

- Stress is a feeling that everybody experiences (at least) every now and then
- Stress induces various coping styles
- This paper looked at smooth coping and emotional outbursts

Background

- Stress is a feeling that everybody experiences (at least) every now and then
- Stress induces various coping styles
- This paper looked at smooth coping and emotional outbursts
 - Smooth coping stands for controlled and cognitive approach to emotion regulation
 - Emotional outbursts stand for more impulsive, costless and fast approach
 - Emotional outbursts tend to be socially harmful (in contrast to constructive smooth coping)

Dynamics of stress and coping and personality

Dynamics of stress and coping and personality

- Stress falls steadily over time for stress-resistant individuals ("good stabilizers")
- Stress can rise or fall for stress-prone individuals ("good stabilizers" or "bad stabilizers")
- Bad stabilizers eventually hit the tolerance level \bar{W} and outburst occurs (or outburst cycles)
 - cost and benefits of smooth coping
 - cost and benefits of outbursts

Dynamics of stress and coping and personality

- Stress falls steadily over time for stress-resistant individuals ("good stabilizers")
- Stress can rise or fall for stress-prone individuals ("good stabilizers" or "bad stabilizers")
- Bad stabilizers eventually hit the tolerance level \bar{W} and outburst occurs (or outburst cycles)
 - cost and benefits of smooth coping
 - cost and benefits of outbursts

Prevalence of outbursts (outburst theorem)

- personality: stress-prone vs. stress-resistant individuals
- appraisal type ϕ , situation p, ability a and autonomous stress-reduction potential δ_0

Do temporary shocks have permanent effects?

- Personality matters a lot
- Reducing stressors temporarily removes symptoms (high stress, frequent outbursts) ...
- ... and can permanently reduce stress for stress-prone individual
- A negative temporary shock can induce permanent outburst cycles for a stress-prone individual

Do temporary shocks have permanent effects?

- Personality matters a lot
- Reducing stressors temporarily removes symptoms (high stress, frequent outbursts) ...
- ... and can permanently reduce stress for stress-prone individual
- A negative temporary shock can induce permanent outburst cycles for a stress-prone individual

Is suppressing outbursts a good idea?

- Yes: outburst comes later
- No: Increasing the tolerance level \bar{W} might lead to outburst cycles

Do temporary shocks have permanent effects?

- Personality matters a lot
- Reducing stressors temporarily removes symptoms (high stress, frequent outbursts) ...
- ... and can permanently reduce stress for stress-prone individual
- A negative temporary shock can induce permanent outburst cycles for a stress-prone individual

Is suppressing outbursts a good idea?

- Yes: outburst comes later
- No: Increasing the tolerance level $ar{W}$ might lead to outburst cycles

The gains from psychotherapy

- Permanent effects achievable via personality changes
- Reappraisal of daily hassles and life-time events
- Don't expect too much and be emotional!

Thank you!